

Detailed Reports on the Validation of the SGLI Products

5. Cryosphere Products



5. Validation Results of Cryosphere Products

GCOM-C C

5.1 Evaluation Summary

Product		Standard accuracy	Target accuracy	Status ^{*1}	Evaluation Methods
Snow and Ice covered area (incl. cloud detection)	. 10%	7%	5%	0	Comparison with other satellite (MODIS) data.
Okhotsk sea-ice distribution	10%	5%	3%	0	Comparison with other satellite (MODIS) data.
Snow and ice surface Temperature	5K	2К	1K	O	Comparison with in-situ observation (AWS thermal radiometer data) other satellite (MODIS) data.
Snow grain size of shallow layer	100%	50%	30%	O *	Comparison with climatology (relation between snow surface temperature and snow grain size) for the release threshold. In addition, comparison with in-situ observation data at Greenland for the standard accuracy. *After the quality control of SGLI data, the snow grain size product has a potential to achieve the standard accuracy.

*1 Symbols denote as follows; \bigcirc : the release threshold achieved, \bigcirc : the standard accuracy achieved, \bigstar : the target accuracy achieved.





5.2 (a) Snow and Ice covered area (SICE)

Validation Method:

- Overall classification errors are evaluated comparing SGLI derived SICE with other satellite products. Relative errors are calculated by using the following equation.

Relative Error (%) = $\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum(S(i) - T(i))^2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum T(i)} * 100$ (N: the number of data, S: SGLI obs., T: other satellite data.)

Validation data and condition etc.:

- Snow covered area: MOD10C2 Snow Cover Extent Product
- Sea-ice covered area: MOD29E1D Sea Ice Product
- Sun-lit regions in the Northern Hemisphere are the target area for the evaluation of SICE accuracy. Eight-day composite data of SICE were generated for SGLI and MODIS and then used for the comparison.



GCOM-C Oldball Glango Observation Mitston-Altacito

別1

5. Validation Results of Cryosphere Products 5.2 (a) Snow and Ice covered area (SICE)



Estimated errors		Release threshold	Standard accuracy	Target accuracy
9.4%		10%	7%	5%



5.2 (b) Okhotsk sea-ice distribution (OKID)

Validation Method:

- Overall classification errors are evaluated comparing SGLI derived sea-ice distribution with other satellite products. Relative errors are calculated by using the following equation.

Relative Error (%) = $\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum(S(i) - T(i))^2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum T(i)} * 100$ (N: the number of data, S: SGLI obs., T: other satellite data.)

Validation data and condition etc.:

- Sea-ice covered area: MOD29E1D Sea Ice Product
- Sun-lit regions within the Okhotsk sea (43-63 deg.N, 135-163 deg.E) are the target area for the evaluation of OKID accuracy. Eight-day composite data of OKID were generated for SGLI and MODIS and then used for the comparison.

Validation period:

- Mar. 14^{th} to Aug. 20^{th} 2018



GOM JAXA

別1-5



別1-6

*Snow Fraction > 10%

5. Validation Results of Cryosphere Products

5.2 (b) Okhotsk sea-ice distribution (OKID)

Validation Results:





5.2 (c) Snow and ice surface Temperature (SIST)

Validation Method:

- Release threshold: Overall RMS errors are evaluated comparing SGLI derived SIST with those from other satellite products.
- Standard accuracy: RMS errors are evaluated comparing SGLI SIST with those from in-situ thermal radiometer measurements on the ground.

Validation data and condition etc.:

- Snow and ice surface temperature: MOD11A1 Land Surface Temperature Product (1 km tile)
- Other satellite: Sun-lit regions in the Northern Hemisphere are the target area for the evaluation of SIST accuracy. Daily tile data of SGLI SIST were compared with that of MOD11A1 product.
- In-situ data: In-situ measured SISTs calculated from thermal radiometer data installed at the PROMICE Automatic Weather Stations (AWS) were used for the comparison with SGLI SIST. The in-situ data acquired at within the time difference of 30 minutes from SGLI observations are used and compared with SGLI SISTs at the nearest neighbor of in-situ sites.

Validation period:

- Jul. 1st to Sep. 30th 2018





Release threshold and Standard accuracy are achieved

In-situ: 1.5K





5.2 (d) Snow grain size of shallow layer (SGSL)

Validation Method:

- Release threshold: Overall errors are evaluated comparing SGLI derived SGSL with climatological relationship between snow grain size and snow surface temperature derived from the past observations.
- Standard accuracy: RMS errors are evaluated comparing SGLI SGSL with those from in-situ data obtained by snow pit works on the ground. Relative errors are calculated by using the following equation.

Relative Error (%) = $\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum(S(i) - T(i))^2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum T(i)} * 100$ (N: the number of data, S: SGLI obs., T: other satellite data.)

Validation data and condition etc.:

- Climatology: Surface temperature dependence of snow grain size observed in the past study using GLI (Hori et al., 2006) was confirmed. For example, steep increase of snow grain size at the melting point of ice, and gradual increase of snow grain size with surface temperature at the lower temperature range below 0 °C.
- In-situ data: A sun-lit snow field (E-GRIP site) over the Greenland ice sheet is the target area for the evaluation of SGSL accuracy. In-situ data were obtained with instruments (IceCube/ HISSGraS) that measures specific surface area (SSA) of snow particles. The in-situ derived SSAs acquired within the time difference of 10 minutes from SGLI observations were converted to optically equivalent sphere grain sizes and then compared with SGLI SGSL (250 m resolution) at the nearest neighbor of the in-situ site.

Validation period:

- Jul. 2nd to 16th 2018

Reference: Hori, M., Aoki, Te., Stamnes, K., Li, W. (2007). ADEOS-II/GLI snow/ice products - Part III: Retrieved results, Remote Sensing of Environment, 111, 291-336.



5.2 (d) Snow grain size of shallow layer (SGSL)

Validation Results:



Surface temp.(K) Climatological relationship between snow surface temperature and snow grain size



SGLI-derived snow grain size on Jul. 13th 2018

SGLI-derived SGSLs are consistent with those obtained at the Greenland ice sheet (EGRIP) in July 2018.

Field experiments at EGRIP, Greenland in 2018



Estimated errors	Release threshold	Standard accuracy	Target accuracy
86% (33%*)	100%	50%	30%

Release threshold is achieved

(*if the cloud effects are eliminated by quality assurance process in next updates, the standard accuracy can be achieved.)

別1-10