1. Introduction

This is the Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) described for the algorithm of GCOM-C LAI/FAPAR product (Algorithm ID: T2B).

Leaf Area Index (LAI) is defined as one half of the total green leaf area per unit ground surface area. GCOM-C LAI is estimated differently for overstory and understory vegetation because LAI for overstory vegetation and understory vegetation (like trees and grasses) differs in seasonal variation and ecosystem function. The unit of the LAI is m²/m². The fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (fAPAR) is defined as the proportion of the effectively absorbed solar radiation by green leaves in the photosynthetically active wavelengths (the spectral region from 400 to 700 nm). GCOM-C fAPAR corresponds to the instantaneous fAPAR at the observation time of GCOM-C satellite.
2. Algorithm of LAI/fAPAR estimation

2.1 Theoretical description

LAI/fAPAR are estimated based on the Look-Up Tables (LUTs) showing the relationships between the SGLI multi-angle atmospherically-corrected surface reflectance data and the LAI or fAPAR \cite{1}. The LUTs are produced using a radiative transfer simulator, the Forest Light Environmental Simulator (FLiES) \cite{2}, to fit with the field-observed reference data collected from literatures. The FLiES simulates radiative transfers in the forests and grasslands based on the Monte Carlo ray tracing method. LAI/fAPAR were estimated by the multi-angle SGLI data to optimize the LUTs. Figure 1 shows the processing flow.
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2.2 LUTs

The LUTs are produced for 7 kinds of land cover types: open needle-leaved forest, closed needle-leaved forest, open broadleaved forest, closed broadleaved forest, tropical broadleaved forest, paddy field and grassland/shrubland/cropland. The reflectance/transmittance of canopy leaves and understory vegetation, the reflectance of stems and soil, and virtual forest landscape scenarios are needed as the input parameters for the FLiES. The input parameters are collected from literatures \cite{3-8}. The virtual forest landscape scenarios, which consist of the total number of trees, the geometric shapes of trees, the positions of trees, and the heights of trees, are generated by an empirical
model \[^9\].

The multi-angle SGLI data needs to agree with the top of canopy bidirectional reflectance factor simulated by FLiES using input parameters and virtual forest landscape scenarios. The input parameters and forest landscape scenarios are selected so that the top of canopy bidirectional reflectance factor can agree with the multi-angle SGLI data. The consistency between them are investigated at several sites where the field-observed reference LAI data are available. The field-observed reference LAI data are collected from literatures. Each LUT is produced for each sun and satellite geometries. Figure 2 shows the flow for creating LUTs.
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**Figure 2. Flow for creating LUT**

### 2.3 LAI/fAPAR retrieval

LAI/fAPAR for overstory vegetation and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) for understory vegetation in forests are estimated using LUTs. NDVI for understory vegetation in forests are converted into apparent understory LAI by the following equation,

\[
LAI_u = 6.7913 \times NDVI_u^4 - 4.2145 \times NDVI_u^3 - 0.1439 \times NDVI_u^2 + 2.2167 \times NDVI_u - 0.324
\]

\[(LAI_u = 0.0, \text{ if } NDVI_u < 0.152),\]

where \(LAI_u\) is the apparent LAI for understory vegetation, and \(NDVI_u\) is the NDVI for understory vegetation. The equation is estimated as the soil type is fixed.

fAPAR for understory vegetation in forests are estimated from understory LAI by the equation,

\[
fAPAR_u = (1 - fAPAR_o \cdot RED_{refl}) \cdot fAPAR_o
\]
\[
f_{\text{APAR}_u} = -0.0071^* L_{\text{AI}_u}^4 + 0.0795^* L_{\text{AI}_u}^3 - 0.3515^* L_{\text{AI}_u}^2 + 0.8125^* L_{\text{AI}_u} + 0.0105
\]

where \( f_{\text{APAR}_u} \) is the LAI for understory vegetation, \( f_{\text{APAR}_o} \) is the fAPAR for overstory vegetation, \( f_{\text{APAR}_0} \) is the fAPAR for understory vegetation when there is no overstory vegetation, and \( RED_{\text{refl}} \) is the reflectance of overstory vegetation for red region. The equation is estimated as the soil type is fixed and the reflection by overstory vegetation approximately equals \( RED_{\text{refl}} \).

LAI/fAPAR are retrieved for each pixel from LUTs and SGLI data. The LUT used for each pixel is decided using “Base map (described in 2.4)”. The multi LUTs are explored for LAI/fAPAR retrieval in the 2nd version, because the land cover types are not always correctly assigned by “Base map”. LAI/fAPAR for urban/ water/ permanent snow and ice/ bare areas are assigned as “no data”, though LAI/fAPAR in bare areas at “Base map” are also retrieved in the 2nd version.

A) Retrieved LAI for 1st version

The following value is retrieved as LAI.

- LAI: Overstory LAI (LAI for overstory vegetation) for forests assigned by “Base map”, and Total LAI (LAI for overstory and understory vegetation) for non-forests assigned by “Base map”. Forests and non-forests do not mean the true land cover types, but the land cover types assigned by “Base map”.

For example, overstory LAI is estimated for the grasslands when the land cover type was assigned as forests by “Base map”.

- Understory NDVI: NDVI of understory vegetation for forests assigned by “Base map”. Understory NDVI is assigned as “0” for non-forests.

B) Retrieved LAI for 2nd version

The following value is retrieved as LAI.

- LAI: Total LAI (LAI for overstory and understory vegetation).

- Overstory LAI: LAI for overstory vegetation.

※ “Understory_LAI” = “LAI” – “Overstory_LAI”.


2.4 Base map

GlobCover2009 V2.3 \([10,11]\) and GLCNMO2008 \([12]\) are used for the “Base map”, in which “paddy field” assigned by GLCNMO2008 is overlaid on GlobCover2009 V2.3. The LUTs used for estimating LAI/fAPAR are assigned using this “Base map” (land cover codes are shown in Table 1). The LUTs used for each land cover code are listed
The multi-types of LUTs were used for each pixel in the ver. 2 products. The LUTs used for each land cover code are listed below.

A) LUT for open needle-leaved forest
   ✓ LC1, LC4

B) LUT for closed needle-leaved forest
   ✓ LC3

C) LUT for open broadleaved forest
   ✓ LC2, LC5, LC17

D) LUT for closed broadleaved forest
   ✓ LC6, LC7

E) LUT for tropical broadleaved forest
   ✓ LC11

F) LUT for paddy field
   ✓ LC12, LC24

G) LUT for grassland/shrubland/cropland
   ✓ LC8, LC9, LC10, LC13, LC14, LC15, LC16

H) Other land cover types (LAI/fAPAR were assigned as “no data”)
   ✓ LC18, LC19, LC20, LC21, LC22, LC23
Table 1 Land cover code used in this document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Legends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>Open (15-40%) needle-leaved deciduous or evergreen forest (&gt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC2</td>
<td>Mosaic forest or shrubland (50-70%) / grassland (20-50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC3</td>
<td>Closed (&gt;40%) needle-leaved evergreen forest (&gt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC4</td>
<td>Closed to open (&gt;15%) mixed broadleaved and needle-leaved forest (&gt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC5</td>
<td>Open (15-40%) broadleaved deciduous forest/woodland (&gt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC6</td>
<td>Closed (&gt;40%) broadleaved deciduous forest (&gt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC7</td>
<td>Closed (&gt;40%) broadleaved forest or shrubland permanently flooded - Saline or brackish water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC8</td>
<td>Mosaic cropland (50-70%) / vegetation (grassland/shrubland/forest) (20-50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC9</td>
<td>Mosaic vegetation (grassland/shrubland/forest) (50-70%) / cropland (20-50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC10</td>
<td>Mosaic grassland (50-70%) / forest or shrubland (20-50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC11</td>
<td>Closed to open (&gt;15%) broadleaved evergreen or semi-deciduous forest (&gt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC12</td>
<td>Post-flooding or irrigated croplands (or aquatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC13</td>
<td>Rainfed croplands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC14</td>
<td>Closed to open (&gt;15%) (broadleaved or needle-leaved, evergreen or deciduous) shrubland (&lt;5m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC15</td>
<td>Closed to open (&gt;15%) herbaceous vegetation (grassland, savannas or lichens/mosses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC16</td>
<td>Closed to open (&gt;15%) grassland or woody vegetation on regularly flooded or waterlogged soil - Fresh, brackish or saline water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC17</td>
<td>Sparse (&lt;15%) vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC18</td>
<td>Bare areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC19</td>
<td>Closed to open (&gt;15%) broadleaved forest regularly flooded (semi-permanently or temporarily) - Fresh or brackish water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC20</td>
<td>Artificial surfaces and associated areas (Urban areas &gt;50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC21</td>
<td>Water bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC22</td>
<td>Permanent snow and ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC23</td>
<td>No data (burnt areas, clouds,…)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC24</td>
<td>Paddy field assigned by GLCNMO2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Input data

The SGLI atmospherically collected land surface reflectance (RSRF) data and geometry data (solar zenith angle, solar azimuth angle, satellite zenith angle and satellite azimuth angle) are used as inputs. The past 7-days of SGLI data are also used to get the data for multi-angle direction. In ver. 1 products, NDVIs for nadir direction (calculated from VN08 and VN11) and for slant direction (calculated from PI01 and PI02) are used for
retrieval. The NDVI only for nadir direction is used for the backup algorithm, when the LAI/fAPAR can not be retrieved. In ver. 2 products, the reflectance for nadir direction (VN08 and VN11) and the reflectance for slant direction (PI01 and PI02) are used for LAI/fAPAR retrieval in forests, though the NDVI is used for non-forests because non-forests with low LAI is highly affected by soils.

3. Information of the provided layers and QA flag
Four layers are provided for each daily LAI/fAPAR product (GC1SG1_yyyymmddD01D_Thhvv_L2SG_LAI_Q_2000.h5).
A) For the 1st version: LAI, Understory_NDVI, FAPAR, QA_flag
B) For the 2nd version: LAI, Overstory_LAI, FAPAR, QA_flag

3.1 QA flag
The explanation of QA_flag is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bit</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Level-3 mask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>Any of input SGLI data has error value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>land/water</td>
<td>0: water &gt; 50% of the pixel 1: land &gt; 50% of the pixel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>mixed with land/water</td>
<td>0: pure land or pure water 1: The pixel is mixed with land and water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>1: The pixel was assigned as cloud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>probably cloud</td>
<td>1: The pixel was assigned as probably cloud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>snow or ice</td>
<td>1: The pixel was assigned as snow or ice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>cloud shadow</td>
<td>1: The pixel was assigned as cloud shadow</td>
<td>mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The condition of sensor</td>
<td>0: good 1: sensor zenith angle for nadir</td>
<td>mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zenith angle is not good</td>
<td>direction &gt; 40° or sensor zenith angle for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>slant direction &lt; 40°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>land cover type</td>
<td>The land cover type assigned by “Base map”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>quality level</td>
<td>00: good quality 10: insufficient retrieval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01: large variance 11: poor inputs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Value could not be retrieved</td>
<td>1: LAI/fAPAR could not be retrieved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>pol cloud or high-tau</td>
<td>1: The pixel was assigned as pol cloud or</td>
<td>mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>high-tau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>backup algorithm</td>
<td>1: Backup algorithm was applied for the</td>
<td>mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>retrieval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.1 The land cover types assigned by “Base map” [bit 08-10]
The LUTs used for the LAI/fAPAR retrieval can be known for each pixel from the information described in 2.4 and this bit.
For the 1st version
A) [bit 08-10: 000] ✓ LC1, LC4
B) [bit 08-10: 100] ✓ LC3
C) [bit 08-10: 010] ✓ LC2, LC5, LC17
D) [bit 08-10: 110] ✓ LC6, LC7
E) [bit 08-10: 001] ✓ LC11
F) [bit 08-10: 101] ✓ LC12, LC24
G) [bit 08-10: 011] ✓ LC8, LC9, LC10, LC13, LC14, LC15, LC16
H) [bit 08-10: 111] ✓ LC18, LC19, LC20, LC21, LC22, LC23

For the 2nd version
A) [bit 08-10: 000] ✓ LC1, LC2
B) [bit 08-10: 100] ✓ LC3, LC4
C) [bit 08-10: 010] ✓ LC5
D) [bit 08-10: 110] ✓ LC6, LC7
E) [bit 08-10: 001] ✓ LC11
F) [bit 08-10: 101] ✓ LC8, LC9, LC10
G) [bit 08-10: 011] ✓ LC12, LC13, LC14, LC15, LC16, LC17, LC18, LC24
H) [bit 08-10: 111] ✓ LC19, LC20, LC21, LC22, LC23

3.1.2 Quality level assigned [bit 11-12]
A) [bit 11-12: 00]
LAI/fAPAR were reasonably retrieved.

B) [bit 11-12: 10]
LAI/fAPAR were retrieved from the insufficient number of values.

C) [bit 11-12: 01]
LAI/fAPAR were retrieved with high variation.

D) [bit 11-12: 11]
LAI/fAPAR were retrieved from the poor input data.

4. Validation plan
The accuracy of the retrieved LAI/fAPAR is assessed using the in-situ observation data which will be collected at several sites on global. The validation will be held mainly on grasslands and forests. The data from other satellite products will also be used for inter-comparison.

5. Known issues

- “LAI” is sometimes incorrectly retrieved at the grasslands with low LAI (<1.0 [m²/m²]).
- The product has the tendency of overestimating LAI especially at the needle-leaved forests.
- “Overstory LAI” does not become “0” at croplands or grasslands with dense vegetation.
- “LAI” is underestimated in the winter season.
- The accuracy of “LAI” is low at the snow-covered areas.
- “LAI” is sometimes incorrectly estimated where the land cover was incorrectly assigned in base maps.
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