# Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document

# GCOM-C/SGLI Level-2 Statistics (G4A)

31 March 2017

Masahiro Hori

Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency

# Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 1.1 Objectives
- 1.2 Development strategy
- 1.3 Processing targets

# 2. Theoretical Description

- 2.1 Processing flow
- 2.2 Statistics
- $2.3 \ QC \ process$
- 2.4. Sample images
- 2.4.1 NDVI
- 3. Current status and remaining issues

# 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Objectives

The objective of the G4A algorithm is to take temporal statistics of SGLI Level-2 (L2) Tile geophysical variable (GV) products for land and cryosphere. The temporal interval of the statistics is 8-day or 1-month. The spatial resolutions of the tile products are kept the same.

#### 1.2 Development strategy

The G4A algorithm is designed to use a same program code for taking statistics of all GVs in order to make the maintenance of the code simple. Basically the output of the G4A processing contains the same statistics variables except for the case of snow and ice cover extent (SICE) product (see next sub-section). The SICE is a flag product and the output of SICE statistics is customized so that the number of snow/ice cover observation during the temporal interval can be calculated. Finally, to make the addition or deletion of GVs quite easy, the GV names of the statistical targets are defined in an external text file so that the change could be made without compiling the code.

#### 1.3 Processing targets and outputs

Processing targets of the L2 Tile GV statistics are the land and cryosphere products. Input and output variables are summarized in Table 1.

| Long Name                        | Geophysical Variables   | Output   |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                  | (GVs)   | Variables <sup>*1</sup>  |
| Vegetation index                 | NDVI, EVI, and SDI  | AVE, RMS, N <sub>used</sub> ,  |
|                                  |   | Ninput, MIN, MAX,  |
|                                  |   | Date, QA_flag  |
| Leaf area index                  | LAI and FAPAR   | Same as above  |
| Above-ground biomass             | AGB and VRI   | Same as above  |
| Land surface temperature         | LST   | Same as above  |
| Snow and ice physical properties | SGSL and SIST   | Same as above  |
| Snow and ice cover extent        | SICE  | $N_{snow1}$ , $N_{snow2}$ ,  |
|                                  |   | N <sub>snow3</sub> , N <sub>used</sub> ,   |
|                                  |   | N <sub>input</sub> , Date,   |
|                                  |   | QA_flag  |
|                                  | Vegetation index<br>Leaf area index<br>Above-ground biomass<br>Land surface temperature<br>Snow and ice physical properties | (GVs)Vegetation indexNDVI, EVI, and SDILeaf area indexLAI and FAPARAbove-ground biomassAGB and VRILand surface temperatureLSTSnow and ice physical propertiesSGSL and SIST |

| Table. | 1 List of | f the target produc | ts, GVs, and | l output types of tl | ne G4A processing |
|--------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|--------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|

\*1 AVE: Average of valid GV data, RMS: Root Mean Square of valid GV data,  $N_{used}$ : Number of valid GV data actually used in the statistics,  $N_{input}$ : Number of input GV data, Min: Minimum of valid GV data, Max: Maximum of valid GV data, Date: Dates of the SGLI observations during the 8-day or 1-month interval period, QA\_flag: Flag for quality assurance information,  $N_{snow1}$ : Number of snow or ice cover,  $N_{snow2}$ : Number of snow with vegetation or bare ice,  $N_{snow3}$ : Number of melting snow

Basically (except for the case of snow and ice cover extent (SICE) products) the statistics taken and stored in the output files are the eight values or flag shown in Table1. When processing the SICE product which stores surface classification flags including snow and ice covers, the statistics of Ave, RMS, Min, and Max are not taken. Instead, only three snow/ice-related counts are stored in the output file.

#### 2. Theoretical Description

#### 2.1 Processing flow

Figure 1 indicate the flow of the G4A L2 Tile GV statistics processing. Input is the L2 tile daily GV products with spatial resolution of 250m or 1km. Output is the 8-day or 1-month statistics product of individual GVs. In case that an input file contains multiple GVs, the G4A process generates output files for every GVs separately. For example, the "VGI\_" product contains three GVs of NDVI, EVI and SDI as shown in Table 1. The outputs of the G4A processing for VGI\_ are three files with the following product IDs, i.e., NDVI, EVI\_, and SDI\_.



Fig. 1 Flow of the G4A L2 Tile GV statistics processing

#### 2.2 Definition of the statistics

Equations for calculating "AVE" and "RMS" are the followings;

$$AVE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} xi$$
$$RMS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} (\sum_{i} xi^{2})}$$

Where n is the total number of observation days with valid GVs, xi is the daily value of a GV to be processed.

"N<sub>used</sub>" is the number of valid GV data actually used in the statistics, whereas "N<sub>input</sub>"

is the number of all the input GV data.

"MIN" and "MAX" are the minimum and maximum of valid GVs data during the temporal interval.

"Date" is a 8-bit value storing the dates of SGLI observations employed in the statistics calculation. For example, when the Date value is 40 (i.e., 101000), then SGLI observations of 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> days are used in the statistics.

#### 2.3 QA process

"QA\_flag" currently stores flags for discriminating land and water. In future update, QA information for GVs will be included.

# 2.4. Sample images

### 2.4.1 NDVI

Figure 2 indicate sample images for the input and output of G4A processing (GV is NDVI and the temporal interval is 8-day).





Input: Daily NDVI Output: 8-day averaged NDVI Fig. 2 Sample images of the G4A processing. (NDVI, Tile No.: 0528, 8-day)

3. Current status and remaining issues of the G4A code implementation

The G4A process works well without system errors. Processing speed and memory size are also within the expectations. Remaining tasks are the implementation of the QA\_flag for the output of quality assurance information.