Investigation of offshore wind energy resource using AMSR2-derived wind speed and sea surface temperature products - Estimation of offshore wind energy resource -

> Katsutoshi Kozai, Teruo Ohsawa, Tsuguhiro Morita (Kobe University Graduate School of Maritime Sciences)

GCOM-W1 PI Workshop, Jan.17, 2014, Tokyo, Japan

<u>Contents</u>

1. Introduction

- 2. Validation of AMSR-E and ASCAT
- 3. Estimation of offshore wind energy resource
- 4. Summary



Fig.1 Classification of offshore wind evaluation method based on coastal and open seas.



AMSR-E SeaWinds AMSR-E minus SeaWinds

Fig.2 Comparison between AMSR-E and SeaWinds (2003-2008mean, 10m height)

2013







(a) AMSR-E derived Weibull energy density (b) AMSR-E SDW (AMSR-E SST) derived Weibull energy density

(c) AMSR-E SDW (NGSST) derived Weibull energy density

Fig.3 Comparison of AMSR-E derived Weibull energy density with and without consideration of atmospheric stability (10m height, AMSR-E SST and NGSST)

Purpose

 To develop evaluation methods for offshore wind energy resources using AMSR-E and SeaWinds (2012)

2. To investigate the atmospheric stability effect on wind energy resources using AMSR-E SST and NGSST products (2013)

3. To develop evaluation methods for offshore wind energy resources using AMSR-E, AMSR2 derived wind speed (2014)





KEO buoy (Measurement height 4m, http://www.pmel. noaa.gov/keo/)

JKEO buoy (Measurement height 4m,http://www.jamstec.go.jp/iorgc /ocorp/ktsfg/data/jkeo/





Stability
 dependent Wind
 speed at 10m

LKB code (Tang and Liu, 1996)

0.1x0.1 Gridded AMSR-E_Lv3_ave Wind Speed



Table 1 Configuration of WRF

Period	2009/4/11-2011/4/10			
Input data	JMA Meso Analysis(every 3hours 🔪 5km×5km)			
	OSTIA SST(every 24hours、 0.05°×0.05°)			
Area	10km×10km、 350×280 grid			
Vertical grid	21 layers			
FDDA	Effective			
Physics configuration	Dudhia shortwave scheme			
	RRTM longwave scheme			
	Eta micorophysics scheme			
	Betts-Miller-Janjic scheme			
	Mellor-Yamada-Janjic scheme			
	unified Noah land-surface model			



Fig.5 Validation results of NGSST and OSTIA against KEO and JKEO (2007-08)



80m wind speed = 10m wind speed x ratio (80/10m wind speed)

Fig.6 Wind speed ratio between 10 and 80m height derived from WRF.

Statistical models based on Weibull parameter

Weibull probability density function

$$f(v) = \frac{k}{A} \left(\frac{v}{A}\right)^{k-1} Exp\left(-\left(\frac{v}{A}\right)^{k}\right)$$

where v:wind speed, k: shape, A: scale

Weibull mean
wind speed $V_m = \int_0^\infty vf(v)dv$ $\bigvee_m = A\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{k})$
 Γ : Gamma functionAvailable energy
density (W/m²) $P_v = \frac{1}{2}\rho_a v^3$ Γ : Gamma functionAvailable energy
density for all wind
speed (W/m²) $E_d = \int_0^\infty P_v f(v)dv$ $\swarrow E_d = \frac{\rho_a A^3}{2}\Gamma(1+\frac{3}{k})$

Table 2 Study period of in situ, mesoscale model and satellites

2003 2008 2009 2011 2012 2013



Table 3 Satellite-derived wind speed estimates and statistics

Wi	10m	10m	80m	80m
	ind speed Ene	ergy density W	ind speed En	ergy density
AMSR-E	O	O	O	O
	2009-11	2009-11	2009-11	2009-11
AMSR2	O 2012-13	O 2012-13	×	×
ASCAT	O 2009-11 2012-13	O 2009-11 2012-13	O 2009-11	O 2009-11



Fig.7 Comparison between AMSR-E and ASCAT derived wind speed(2009/04/11 - 2011/04/10 mean, 10m height)



Fig.8 Comparison between AMSR-E and ASCAT derived wind speed (2009/04/11 - 2011/04/10 mean, 80m height)



Fig.9 Validation results of AMSR-E and ASCAT against KEO and JKEO (10m height, 2009-11)



Fig.10 Comparison between AMSR-E and ASCAT derived mean energy density (2009/04/11 - 2011/04/10 mean, 10m height)

ASCAT



Fig.11 Comparison between AMSR-E and ASCAT derived mean energy density (2009/04/11 - 2011/04/10 mean, 80m height)

ASCAT



Fig.12 Comparison between AMSR2 and ASCAT derived wind speed(2012/07/03 - 2013/07/02 mean, 10m height)



Fig.13 Comparison between AMSR2 and ASCAT derived mean energy density (2012/07/03 - 2013/07/02 mean, 10m height)



40°N

40°N



Summary

(1) Comparison of wind resource maps shows mean wind speed difference between AMSR-E and SeaWinds are mostly within ± 0.5 m/s.(2012)

(2) Weibull energy density with consideration of atmospheric stability using AMSR-E SST and NGSST is close to the one derived from JKEO buoy.(2013)

(3) Validation results indicate that bias and RMSE of AMSR-E wind speeds are higher than those of ASCAT.
Wind speed differences of AMSR2 and ASCAT are getting smaller than those of AMSR-E and ASCAT.
(2014)

Acknowledgements

AMSR-E, AMSR2 wind speed products (Level 2,3) were provided by EORC, JAXA. Wind speed and direction, air and sea surface temperature, relative humidity data at the KEO and JKEO buoys were provided by Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA and Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology respectively. This study is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22360379 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sport and Culture, Japan.