Validation methods for development of coastal ocean-color algorithm coupled atmosphere-ocean system

Hiroshi Kobayashi, Yukio Koibuchi, Hiroto Higa, Yuji Sakuno, Mitsuhiro Toratani, Toru Hirawake, Pachoenchoke Jintasaeranee, Anukul Buranapratheprat, and Vichaya Gunbua

Joint PI Workshop of Global Environment Observation Mission 2013, TKP GardenClty Takebashi, Tokyo, Japan, 14-17 Jan 2014



#### Topics

- Validation of coastal ocean color remote sensing requires optical information of atmospheric aerosol particles, inwater materials, and water-leaving radiance simultaneously
- Aerosol measurement
  - Result of Shipboard–Skyradiometer
  - Development of shipboard-scanning sunphotometer
- Lw measurement
  - Development of Lw measuring buoy
- Optical properties of in-water materials measurement
  - In situ measurement
  - Result of backscattering coefficient of suspended matter

#### Shipboard-Skyradiometer

- Prede, POM-01mk2
- It was on board previous R/V Shirase (2000-2007)
- There were not enough good data due to sun-pointing error





POM-01 mk2

#### Improved-Skyradiometer

- Prede, POM-01mk3
- It is on board present R/V Shirase (2009-)
- Improvement
  - Drive system
  - Widening of radiometer's FOV





POM-01 mk3



#### AOT at 500nm and AE



#### **Comparison with MODIS** Good correlation 0.25 AOT(550nm) derived from MODIS Visible Composite 2010072072902.hdf 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0 AOT(500nm) m

#### New scanning sunphotometer

- Some issue are remained on POM-01mk3
  - Stop sun-tracking during sky brightness measurement
    - Size distribution and optical properties are could not derived
  - Sun-tracking is based on on-off control
    - Tracking control rarely becomes unstable state
- Development of new shipboard scanning sunphotometer
  - Sun-tracking is based on PID or PD control
  - Sun-tracking conducts all-time including sky brightness scanning measurement
- Now
  - Development of sun-position sensor with high resolution as 0.1 degree

#### Lw measurement

- For open ocean, free-fall type submerged radiometer is usually used
  - However, it is not used for coastal waters due to shallow and optically dense waters (C-OPS is OK?!)
- Lw is directly measured above the sea-surface
  - It is contaminated by reflected sky brightness





### Covered radiometer

- To avoid a contamination of sky radiance reflected on the surface, Tanaka et al.(2006) showed the Lw measurement with a covered radiometer
- However, it requires the shelf-shading correction



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the proposed domed-cover method.

#### Covered radiometer

- Aas and Korsø (1997) showed a relationship between the correction factor and a value related a sensor radius
- It means using different shade size could correct the self-shading





#### Covered radiometer

- Two radiometer with different cover sizes
- Self-shading error was estimated from these measurement



$$\begin{split} Lu^{true} &= Lu^{meas.}/(1{\text -}\epsilon) \\ &\epsilon &= 1{\text -}exp(-kaR) \text{ Gordon and Ding(1992)} \\ &k &= 2/\tan\theta_{OW} \\ Lu^{true} &= Lu^{small}/exp(-kaR_S) = Lu^{large}/exp(-kaR_L) \\ &ka &= \ln(Lu^{small}/Lu^{large})/(R_L-R_S) \end{split}$$





#### **Observation areas**

- Bangpakong river estuary around northeastern of the upper Gulf of Thailand (December in 2009-2011)
- Tokyo bay (May-October in 2010-2013)



## Self-shading error

- Results in the case of TriOS RAMSES (D=4.7cm)
- The result shows two groups, corresponding to absorptive or scattering waters



### Lw measuring buoy

- Two radiometer method has some problems
  - It affected by bubbles, reflection and shade associated with boat
  - It is hard work
- Development new Lw measuring buoy



### Self-shading error

• Tokyo bay Stn. 98 Chl-a concentration = 58.4mg/m<sup>3</sup>



## Diagram of buoy

- FieldSpec HH needs manual operation via PC
- FieldSpecs are operated from on boat PC via VNC



#### In-situ measurement

- Suspended matter is key player in coastal waters radiative transfer
  - Its optical property is required for an atmospheric correction
  - and in-water algorithm based on theoretical model
- We evaluate a relationship between backward-scattering coefficient of particulate matter and each suspended matter concentration.

#### Observation items

- Radiative quantities
  - Water-leaving radiance Lw
  - Downward irradiance on the surface Es
- Optical properties
  - aCDOM
  - aph, ad
  - bbp with HYDROSCAT-6P
- Substance quantities
  - Chlorophyll-a
  - Total suspended matter(TSM)
    - Using Nuclepore (pore size 0.4 μm)
  - Organic and inorganic suspended matter(OSM, ISM)
    - Using GF/F
    - Heating at 550°C for three hours

#### Estimation of bbp

 Backward-scattering coefficient of particulate matter b<sub>bp</sub> was derived from Rrs and sum of each measured absorption coefficient (Lee et al., 2002)

$$r_{rs} = \frac{R_{rs}}{0.52 + 1.7R_{rs}}$$

$$u = \frac{-g_0 + [g_0^2 + 4g_1r_{rs}]^{1/2}}{2g_1}$$

$$g_0 = 0.0895, g_1 = 0.1247$$

$$b_b = \frac{ua}{1 - u} - b_{bw}$$

## Model of bbp

- Relationship between bbp with a combination of each particulate matter concentration derived using with general linear model
- It assumed that the backward-scattering coefficient was proportional to each concentration
  - Model 1: bbp = c1\*Chl-a + c2\*TSM
  - Model 2: bbp = c1\*Chl-a + c2\*ISM
  - Model 3: bbp = c1\*Chl-a + c2\*ISM + c3\*OSM
  - Model 4: bbp = c1\*ISM + c2\*OSM

#### General bbp model

#### Model estimates the measured bbp well

 $b_{bp}(764) = 0.00032*Chl-a$ + 0.00117\*ISM + 0.00672\*OSM

 $b_{bp}(550) = 0.00049 * Chl-a$ + 0.00370\*ISM + 0.00501\*OSM

1.00

0.20

0.05

Retrieval bbp at 550nm [m^-1]



#### Summary

- New scanning sunphotometer will be on board R/V Shirase next cruise, departure on November
- Buoy's balance test will conduct on next march or next FY at wave-making tank of Hiroshima University
- Lw measuring buoy will test on board at Tokyo bay in next FY
- Good relationship between bbp with a combination of each particulate matter concentration was derived using with general linear model

# Thank you very much



## Mass-specific bbp



- Reasonable values were estimated despite only statistics analysis not optical theory
- The b<sub>bph</sub> includes fluorescence, therefore, the use of this value could represent fluorescence peak on Rrs spectrum

#### Comparison of bbp



 The bbp measured with HYDROSCAT-6P was overestimated and the relationship was not linear

#### Comparison of bbp



• The slopes were steeper than 1:1 line